

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,460	01/19/2001	Hsin Wu Lee	EM/LEE/6441	7069
7590 06/03/2004			EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
625 Slaters Lane - 4th Floor Alexandria, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2643	2
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/764,460	LEE, HSIN WU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Jamai	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 January 2001. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the office of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9)	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

'Application/Control Number: 09/764,460

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation " " of Claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

'Application/Control Number: 09/764,460

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claims 1,2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurita et al. (4825461), and further in view of Fields (4434328).

As per claim 1, Kurita discloses a communication peripheral device comprising a CPU (Col 2 lines 55-68), Two detection units (151,152 in Fig. 6, Col 9 line65 to Col 10 line 10) each comprising a hold, in use, and ring detector with each set coupled respectively to first a second telephone lines L1 and L2 (Fig. 6). Telephone lines L1 and L2 are respectively connected to the contacts of first relay 159 (Fig. 6). However, Kurita does not specify that the first relay has a center contact connected to the center contact of a normally closed contact of a second relay.

Fields discloses a telephone peripheral circuit used to disconnect the subscriber terminal from the loop via a command from the central office (ABSTRACT). He further teaches that adding this circuit to a telephone will allow a central office to disconnect a users phone in the instance when said phone is causing problems on the loop (Col 1 lines 1-44). The circuit comprises normally-closed relay contacts D-3, D4, LO-1, LO-2 that are placed directly in front of the subscriber equipment (Figure, Col 2 line 65 to Col 3 line 5). When this circuit is applied to the device disclosed by Kurita, the normally closed relays contacts will be connected to the center contacts of relay 159 (KURITA: Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to apply Fields' circuit to the telephone sets and modem of Kurita's device for the advantage of allowing the central office to easily disconnect the telephones (or modem) from the subscriber loop whenever required.

As per **claim 2,** Fields also discloses normally open relay contacts D-1,D-2, S-1, S-2 that, when closed, will connect the phone power from the telephone line (Tip,Ring) (Col 4 line 20 to Col 5 line 9). The contacts close based upon a test voltage indication from the CO. The phone power indication indicates that the CO is performing a test on the loop or there is a problem with the loop (line busy indication).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 703-305-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9315 for After Final communications.

AJ May 27, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATY OF AMINER
TECHNOLOGY C. SOO